

SKEW GROUP ALGEBRAS AND HOMOLOGICAL CONJECTURES

YINGYING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field k and G a finite group whose order is invertible in Λ acting on Λ . We prove that Λ satisfies the Gorenstein symmetry conjecture (resp. finitistic dimension conjecture, Auslander-Gorenstein conjecture, Nakayama conjecture) if and only if so does the skew group algebra ΛG . Also, we prove that if ΛG satisfies the Auslander-Reiten conjecture (resp. Gorenstein projective conjecture, strong Nakayama conjecture, generalized Nakayama conjecture), then so does Λ ; moreover, if the group is solvable, then the converse holds true.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, Λ is a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field k and all modules are finitely generated unless stated otherwise. Denote by $\text{mod}\Lambda$ the category of finitely generated left Λ -modules and $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ the full subcategory of $\text{mod}\Lambda$ of projective Λ -modules. For a module $M \in \text{mod}\Lambda$, $\text{pd}_\Lambda M$ and $\text{id}_\Lambda M$ are the projective and injective dimensions of M respectively.

The following homological conjectures are very important in the representation theory of artin algebras.

Auslander-Reiten Conjecture (ARC) Any module $M \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ satisfying $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^{\geq 1}(M, M \oplus \Lambda) = 0$ implies that M is projective.

Gorenstein Projective Conjecture (GPC) If M is a Gorenstein projective Λ -module such that $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^{\geq 1}(M, M) = 0$, then M is projective.

Strong Nakayama Conjecture (SNC) Any module $M \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ satisfying $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^{\geq 0}(M, \Lambda) = 0$ implies $M = 0$.

Generalized Nakayama Conjecture (GNC) For any simple module $S \in \text{mod}\Lambda$, there exists $i \geq 0$ such that $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(S, \Lambda) \neq 0$.

Let

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

be a minimal injective resolution of the Λ -module Λ .

Auslander-Gorenstein Conjecture (AGC) If $\text{pd}_\Lambda I^i \leq i$ for any $i \geq 0$, then Λ is Gorenstein (that is, the left and right self-injective dimensions of Λ are finite).

MSC 2010: 16E10, 16E30.

Key words: Skew group algebras, Homological conjectures, Solvable groups.

Nakayama Conjecture (NC) If I^i is projective for any $i \geq 0$, then Λ is self-injective.

Wakamatsu Tilting Conjecture (WTC) Let T_Λ be a Wakamatsu tilting module with $\Gamma = \text{End}(T_\Lambda)$. Then $\text{id } T_\Lambda = \text{id}_\Gamma T$.

Gorenstein Symmetric Conjecture (GSC) $\text{id } \Lambda_\Lambda = \text{id } {}_\Lambda \Lambda$.

Finitistic Dimension Conjecture (FDC) $\text{findim } \Lambda := \sup \{\text{pd}_\Lambda M \mid M \in \text{mod } \Lambda \text{ with } \text{pd}_\Lambda M < \infty\} < \infty$.

These conjectures remain still open, and there are close relations among them as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 FDC & \implies & SNC & \implies & GNC & \implies & AGC \implies NC \\
 \Downarrow & & \nearrow & & \Downarrow & & \\
 WTC & & & & ARC & & \\
 \Downarrow & & & & \Downarrow & & \\
 GSC & & & & GPC & &
 \end{array}$$

We refer to [AR, CT, FZ, KLZ, LH, LJ, M, W1, W2, W3, X1, X2, X3, Y, Z, ZZ] for details.

Recall that the notion of skew group algebras was introduced in [RR]. Let Λ be an artin algebra and G a finite group of order n such that n is invertible in Λ acting on Λ . They showed that Λ is 1-Gorenstein (resp. representation-finite) if and only if ΛG is 1-Gorenstein (resp. representation-finite). Huang and Sun in [HS] extended these results to CM-free algebras and CM-finite algebras. In this paper, we will connect skew group algebras with homological conjectures. This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we give some terminology and some known results that will be used in the later part.

In Section 3, we shall prove the following

Theorem 1.1. ([Theorem 3.2 and 3.3]) *Let G be a finite group whose order is invertible in Λ acting on Λ . Then Λ satisfies ARC (resp. NC, GSC, FDC) if and only if so does ΛG .*

Theorem 1.2. ([Theorem 3.8 and 3.9]) *Let G be a finite group whose order is invertible in Λ acting on Λ . If ΛG satisfies ARC (resp. GPC, SNC, GNC), then so does Λ . Moreover, if G is solvable, then the converse holds true.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some terminology and some preliminary results.

Let Λ be an algebra and G be a group with identity 1. We consider an action of G on Λ , that is, a map $G \times \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ via $(\sigma, \lambda) \mapsto \sigma(\lambda)$ such that

- (a) For each σ in G , the map $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is an algebra automorphism.

- (b) $(\sigma\sigma')(\lambda) = \sigma(\sigma'(\lambda))$ for all $\sigma, \sigma' \in G$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
- (c) $1(\lambda) = \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field k , G a finite group whose order is invertible in Λ and $G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}\Lambda$ a group homomorphism. For any $X \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ and $\sigma \in G$, let ${}^\sigma X$ be the Λ -module as follows: as a k -vector space ${}^\sigma X = X$, the action on ${}^\sigma X$ is given by $\lambda \cdot x = \sigma^{-1}(\lambda)x$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $x \in X$. Given a morphism of Λ -modules $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, define ${}^\sigma f : {}^\sigma X \longrightarrow {}^\sigma Y$ by ${}^\sigma f(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in {}^\sigma X$. Then ${}^\sigma f$ is also a Λ -homomorphism. Indeed, for $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have ${}^\sigma f(\lambda \cdot x) = f(\sigma^{-1}(\lambda)x) = \sigma^{-1}(\lambda)f(x) = \lambda \cdot {}^\sigma f(x)$. For $X, Y \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ and homomorphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, we define a functor F_σ by $F_\sigma(X) = {}^\sigma X$ and $F_\sigma(f) = {}^\sigma f$.

One can get the following proposition immediately.

Proposition 2.1. $F_\sigma : \text{mod}\Lambda \longrightarrow \text{mod}\Lambda$ is an automorphism and the inverse is $F_{\sigma^{-1}}$.

This fact is very useful for the proof of the main results. Now we recall the definition of skew group algebras from [RR].

Definition 2.2. The *skew group algebra* of G over Λ , which we denote by ΛG is given by the following data:

- (a) As an abelian group ΛG is the free left Λ -module with the elements of G as a basis.
- (b) The multiplication in ΛG is defined by the rule $(\lambda_\sigma \sigma)(\lambda_\tau \tau) = (\lambda_\sigma \sigma(\lambda_\tau))\sigma\tau$ for all λ_σ and λ_τ in Λ and σ and τ in G .

When G is a finite group whose order is invertible in Λ , the natural inclusion of Λ in ΛG induces the induction functor $F = \Lambda G \otimes_\Lambda - : \text{mod}\Lambda \longrightarrow \text{mod}\Lambda G$ and the restriction functor $H : \text{mod}\Lambda G \longrightarrow \text{mod}\Lambda$. We recall the following facts from [RR].

Proposition 2.3.

- (a) (F, H) and (H, F) are adjoint pairs of functors.
Then it is obvious that F and H are both exact, and hence both preserve projective modules and injective modules.
- (b) Let $M \in \text{mod}\Lambda$ and $\sigma \in G$. We have isomorphisms of $FM \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} (\sigma \otimes M) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^\sigma M$ as Λ -modules. Then $HFM \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} (\sigma \otimes M) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^\sigma M$.
- (c) The natural morphism $I \rightarrow HF$ is a split monomorphism of functions, where $I : \text{mod}\Lambda \rightarrow \text{mod}\Lambda$ is the identity functor. The natural morphism $FH \rightarrow J$ is a split epimorphism of functions, where $J : \text{mod}\Lambda G \rightarrow \text{mod}\Lambda G$ is the identity functor.

Proposition 2.4. [RR, Proposition 5.3] If ΛG is skew group algebra with G a solvable group, we can get from ΛG to Λ by using a finite number of skew group algebra constructions, combined with Morita equivalence.

For the convenience of the readers, we give an easy example to understand skew group algebras. We refer to [RR] for more information.

Example 2.5. Let Λ be the path algebra of the quiver Q . The cyclic group $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on Λ by switching 2 and $2'$, α and β and fixing the vertex 1. Then the quivers of Λ and ΛG are as follows:



Definition 2.6. [EJ2] A module $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ is called *Gorenstein projective* if there is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$, which stays exact after applying the functor $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda)$ such that $M \cong \text{Ker } d^0$.

We use $\mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)$ to denote the full subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ consisting of Gorenstein projective modules.

3. HOMOLOGICAL CONJECTURES

In this section, Λ is a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field and G is a finite group whose order is invertible in Λ acting on Λ . Since F and H preserve injective modules, we have the following result which states that Λ and ΛG have the same self-injective dimension.

Lemma 3.1. $\text{id } \Lambda_\Lambda = \text{id } \Lambda G_{\Lambda G}$ and $\text{id } {}_\Lambda \Lambda = \text{id } {}_{\Lambda G} \Lambda G$. In particular, Λ is self-injective if and only if ΛG is self-injective.

Proof. Since F and H preserve injective modules, it follows that

$$\text{id } \Lambda G_{\Lambda G} = \text{id } F\Lambda_\Lambda \leq \text{id } \Lambda_\Lambda \leq \text{id } H F\Lambda_\Lambda = \text{id } H\Lambda G_{\Lambda G} \leq \text{id } \Lambda G_{\Lambda G}.$$

Thus $\text{id } \Lambda_\Lambda = \text{id } \Lambda G_{\Lambda G}$. Similarly, we have $\text{id } {}_\Lambda \Lambda = \text{id } {}_{\Lambda G} \Lambda G$. □

Theorem 3.2.

- (1) Λ satisfies GSC if and only if so does ΛG .
- (2) Λ satisfies FDC if and only if so does ΛG .

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.1, it follows that Λ satisfies GSC if and only if $\text{id } \Lambda_\Lambda = \text{id } {}_\Lambda \Lambda$ if and only if $\text{id } \Lambda G_{\Lambda G} = \text{id } {}_{\Lambda G} \Lambda G$ if and only if ΛG satisfies GSC.

- (2) By [HS, Proposition 3.6(1) and Example 2.2(2)], we get the assertion. □

Theorem 3.3.

- (1) Λ satisfies AGC if and only if so does ΛG .
 (2) Λ satisfies NC if and only if so does ΛG .

Proof. (1) Assume that ΛG satisfies AGC. Let

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots \quad (3.1)$$

be a minimal injective resolution of the Λ -module Λ with $\text{pd } I^i \leq i$ for any $i \geq 0$. Applying the functor F to (3.1) we have an injective resolution of ΛG as a ΛG -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda G \rightarrow FI^0 \rightarrow FI^1 \rightarrow \dots .$$

Take a minimal injective resolution of ΛG as a ΛG -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda G \rightarrow J^0 \rightarrow J^1 \rightarrow \dots .$$

Then we have that J^i is a direct summand of FI^i for any $i \geq 0$. Therefore $\text{pd } J^i \leq \text{pd } FI^i \leq \text{pd } I^i \leq i$ for any $i \geq 0$. Then ΛG is Gorenstein since ΛG satisfies AGC. From [HS, Proposition 3.6(3)] we know that Λ is Gorenstein.

Conversely, assume Λ satisfies AGC. Take a minimal injective resolution of ΛG as a ΛG -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda G \rightarrow J^0 \rightarrow J^1 \rightarrow \dots \quad (3.2)$$

with $\text{pd } J^i \leq i$ for any $i \geq 0$. Applying the functor H to (3.2) we get an injective resolution of $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^\sigma \Lambda$ as a Λ -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^\sigma \Lambda \rightarrow HJ^0 \rightarrow HJ^1 \rightarrow \dots .$$

If

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

is a minimal injective resolution of Λ -module Λ , then I^i is a direct summand of HJ^i for any $i \geq 0$. It follows that $\text{pd } I^i \leq \text{pd } HJ^i \leq \text{pd } J^i \leq i$ for any $i \geq 0$. Then Λ is Gorenstein since Λ satisfies AGC. By [HS, Proposition 3.6(3)] we have that ΛG is Gorenstein.

- (2) Assume that ΛG satisfies NC. Let

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots \quad (3.3)$$

be a minimal injective resolution of the Λ -module Λ with I^i is projective for any $i \geq 0$. Applying the functor F to (3.3) we have an injective resolution of ΛG as a ΛG -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda G \rightarrow FI^0 \rightarrow FI^1 \rightarrow \dots .$$

Take a minimal injective resolution of ΛG as a ΛG -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda G \rightarrow J^0 \rightarrow J^1 \rightarrow \dots .$$

Then we have that J^i is a direct summand of FI^i for any $i \geq 0$. Therefore J^i is projective for any $i \geq 0$. Thus ΛG is self-injective since ΛG satisfies NC. By Lemma 3.1 we have that Λ is self-injective.

Conversely, assume Λ satisfies NC. Take a minimal injective resolution of ΛG as a ΛG -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda G \rightarrow J^0 \rightarrow J^1 \rightarrow \dots \quad (3.4)$$

with J^i is projective for any $i \geq 0$. Applying the functor H to (3.4) we get an injective resolution of $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^\sigma \Lambda$ as a Λ -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^\sigma \Lambda \rightarrow HJ^0 \rightarrow HJ^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

If

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

is a minimal injective resolution of Λ -module Λ , then I^i is a direct summand of HJ^i for any $i \geq 0$. It follows that I^i is projective for any $i \geq 0$. Then Λ is self-injective since Λ satisfies NC. By Lemma 3.1 we have that ΛG is self-injective. $\square$

The following result plays a crucial role in the sequel.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $G \rightarrow \text{Aut} \Lambda$ be a group homomorphism. Let*

$$\dots \rightarrow M^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} M^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} M^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{mod} \Lambda$ and $N \in \text{mod} \Lambda$. If

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^1, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^0, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{-1}, N) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact in $\text{mod} \Lambda$, then

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^1, {}^\sigma N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^0, {}^\sigma N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{-1}, {}^\sigma N) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact in $\text{mod} \Lambda$ for any $\sigma \in G$.

Proof. We only have to prove the following sequence

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{i+1}, {}^\sigma N) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^i, {}^\sigma N) \xrightarrow{g} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{i-1}, {}^\sigma N)$$

is exact in $\text{mod} \Lambda$ for any i and $\sigma \in G$, where f (resp. g) is induced by d^i (resp. d^{i-1}).

Clearly $\text{Im} f \subseteq \text{Ker} g$. Conversely, taking $h \in \text{Ker} g$, then $0 = hd^{i-1} \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{i-1}, {}^\sigma N)$. We have $0 = F_\sigma h d^{i-1} \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{i-1}, N)$. Since we already have the following exact sequence in $\text{mod} \Lambda$

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{i+1}, N) \xrightarrow{(d^i)^*} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^i, N) \xrightarrow{(d^{i-1})^*} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{i-1}, N),$$

there exists $h' \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M^{i+1}, N)$ such that $F_\sigma h = h' d^i$. Thus $h = F_{\sigma^{-1}} h' d^i \in \text{Im} f$. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $M, N \in \text{mod} \Lambda$ satisfying $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(M, N) = 0$ with $i \geq 0$. Then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^i(FM, FN) = 0$.*

Proof. If $i = 0$, then from the adjoint isomorphism theorem and Proposition 2.3(b) it follows that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(FM, FN) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, HFN) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, {}^{\sigma}N).$$

Since for any $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, {}^{\sigma}N)$, we have $F_{\sigma^{-1}}f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, N) = 0$. Thus $f = F_{\sigma}F_{\sigma^{-1}}f = 0$. We have finished to prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(FM, FN) = 0$.

If $i \geq 1$, taking a projective resolution of M in $\mathrm{mod}\Lambda$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.5)$$

then we have a projective resolution of FM by applying the functor F :

$$\cdots \rightarrow FP_1 \rightarrow FP_0 \rightarrow FM_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $P^{\bullet} = (\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0)$. Then $FP^{\bullet} = (\cdots \rightarrow FP_1 \rightarrow FP_0 \rightarrow 0)$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(M, N) = H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{\bullet}, N))$$

is i th-homology of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{\bullet}, N)$ and

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^i(FM, FN) = H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(FP^{\bullet}, FN))$$

is i th-homology of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(FP^{\bullet}, FN)$. By the adjoint isomorphism theorem and Proposition 2.3(2) we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(FP^{\bullet}, FN) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{\bullet}, {}^{\sigma}N)$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^i(FM, FN) = 0$. $\square$

To prove Theorem 1.2, a key result is the following criterion for the relationship between $\mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{GP}(\Lambda G)$.

Proposition 3.6.

- (1) If $M \in \mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)$, then $FM \in \mathcal{GP}(\Lambda G)$.
- (2) If $N \in \mathcal{GP}(\Lambda G)$, then $HN \in \mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)$

Proof. (1) If M is a Gorenstein projective Λ -module, then there exists an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \rightarrow \cdots \quad (3.6)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$, which stays exact after applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda)$ such that $M \cong \mathrm{Ker} d^0$.

Applying the exact functor F to (3.6), we have an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow FP^{-1} \rightarrow FP^0 \xrightarrow{Fd^0} FP^1 \rightarrow \cdots \quad (3.7)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda G)$ such that $FM \cong \mathrm{Ker} Fd^0$. To prove that FM is a Gorenstein projective ΛG -module, we only have to prove that (3.7) stays exact after applying the functor

$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(-, \Lambda G)$. By Propsition 2.3 (a), one gets the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(FP^{i+1}, \Lambda G) & \xrightarrow{(Fd^i)^*} & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(FP^i, \Lambda G) & \xrightarrow{(Fd^{i-1})^*} & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(FP^{i-1}, \Lambda G) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{i+1}, HF\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{(d^i)^*} & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^i, HF\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{(d^{i-1})^*} & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{i-1}, HF\Lambda) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{i+1}, \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^{\sigma}\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{(d^i)^*} & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^i, \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^{\sigma}\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{(d^{i-1})^*} & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{i-1}, \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^{\sigma}\Lambda) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{i+1}, {}^{\sigma}\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^i, {}^{\sigma}\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{i-1}, {}^{\sigma}\Lambda).
\end{array}$$

Therefore we only have to prove the following sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{i+1}, {}^{\sigma}\Lambda) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^i, {}^{\sigma}\Lambda) \xrightarrow{g} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{i-1}, {}^{\sigma}\Lambda)$$

is exact in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ for any i and $\sigma \in G$, where f (resp. g) is induced by d^i (resp. d^{i-1}). This is clear by Lemma 3.5.

(2) If N is a Gorenstein projective ΛG -module, then there exists an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow Q^{-1} \rightarrow Q^0 \xrightarrow{l^0} Q^1 \rightarrow \cdots \quad (3.8)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda G)$, which stays exact after applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(-, \Lambda G)$ such that $N \cong \text{Ker } l^0$.

Similarly to the proof of (1), applying the functor H to (3.8), we get an exact sequence

$$\rightarrow HQ^{-1} \rightarrow HQ^0 \xrightarrow{Hl^0} HQ^1 \rightarrow \cdots \quad (3.9)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ such that $HN \cong \text{Ker } Hl^0$. Then we only have to prove (3.9) stays exact under $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda)$. By Proposition 2.3 (a) again, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(HQ^{i+1}, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(HQ^i, \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(HQ^{i-1}, \Lambda) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(Q^{i+1}, F\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(Q^i, F\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda G}(Q^{i-1}, F\Lambda).
\end{array}$$

So the first row is exact from the exactness of the second row. $\square$

In the following we give a connection between ARC, GPC, SNC and GNC for Λ and that for ΛG .

Theorem 3.7. *If ΛG satisfies ARC (resp. GPC, SNC, GNC), then so does Λ .*

Proof. (1) Assume ΛG satisfies ARC, that is, for any $N \in \text{mod } \Lambda G$, if $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^{\geq 1}(N, N \oplus \Lambda G) = 0$, then N is projective. Let $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ with $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{\geq 1}(M, M \oplus \Lambda) = 0$. By Proposition 3.6, we have $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^{\geq 1}(FM, FM \oplus \Lambda G) = 0$. Therefore FM is a projective

ΛG -module since ΛG satisfies ARC. Note that H preserves projectives. So HFM is a projective Λ -module and M as a direct summand of HFM is projective.

(2) Assume ΛG satisfies GPC. Let $M \in \mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)$ with $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{\geq 1}(M, M) = 0$. By Proposition 3.6, we have $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^{\geq 1}(FM, FM) = 0$. Note that $M \in \mathcal{GP}(\Lambda)$. Then by Proposition 3.7(1) $FM \in \mathcal{GP}(\Lambda G)$. Then FM is a projective ΛG -module since ΛG satisfies GPC. Because H preserves projectives, HFM is a projective Λ -module. Thus M as a direct summand of HFM is projective.

(3) Assume ΛG satisfies SNC. Let $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ with $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{\geq 0}(M, \Lambda) = 0$. By Proposition 3.6 we have $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^{\geq 0}(FM, \Lambda G) = 0$. Then $FM = 0$ since ΛG satisfies SNC. It follows from Proposition 2.3(c) that $M = 0$ as a direct summand of $HFM = 0$.

(4) Assume ΛG satisfies GNC. Let $S \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ be a simple module with $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{\geq 0}(S, \Lambda) = 0$. By Proposition 3.6 we have $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^{\geq 0}(FS, \Lambda G) = 0$. By Propositions 2.3(b) and 2.1, we have that $HFS \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} {}^{\sigma}S$ is a semisimple Λ -module. From [FJ, Theorem 4] we know that FS is a semisimple ΛG -module. Set $FS := \bigoplus_{j \in J'} S'_j$, where S'_j is simple for any $j \in J'$. Then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda G}^{\geq 0}(S'_j, \Lambda G) = 0$ for any $j \in J'$. Since ΛG satisfies GNC, $S'_j = 0$ for any $j \in J'$. So $FS = 0$. By Proposition 2.3(c), we have $S = 0$ as a direct summand of $HFS = 0$. $\square$

A natural question is the following

Question. *Is the converse of Theorem 3.8 true?*

In the following, we will give a partial answer to this question.

We denote by $\mathbb{X}$ the group of characters of G , that is, the group homomorphisms $\chi : G \rightarrow k^* = k \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\mathbb{X}$ acts on ΛG via $\chi(\lambda g) = \chi(g)\lambda g$ and hence we get a new skew group algebra $(\Lambda G)\mathbb{X}$. It follows from [RR, Corollary 5.2] that $(\Lambda G)\mathbb{X}$ is Morita equivalent to $\Lambda G'$, where G' is the commutator subgroup of G .

Theorem 3.8. *Let G be a solvable group. If Λ satisfies ARC (resp. GPC, SNC, GNC), then so does ΛG .*

Proof. Since G is solvable, we get its derived series

$$G \triangleright G^{(1)} \triangleright G^{(2)} \triangleright G^{(3)} \triangleright \dots \triangleright G^{(m)} = \{1\},$$

that is, every subgroup is the commutator subgroup of the preceding one. Denote by $\mathbb{X}^{(i)}$ the character group of $G^{(i)}$. By Proposition 2.4, we can get from ΛG to Λ by using a finite number of skew group algebra constructions, combined with Morita equivalences. To be more precise, there exists a chain of skew group algebras

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow{G} \Lambda G \xrightarrow{\mathbb{X}} \Lambda G^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{X}^{(1)}} \Lambda G^{(2)} \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbb{X}^{(m-1)}} \Lambda G^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\text{Morita}} \Lambda, \quad (*)$$

where each algebra ΛG^i is the skew group algebra of the preceding algebra $\Lambda G^{(i-1)}$ under the action of the group $\mathbb{X}^{i-1}$. Assume that Λ satisfies ARC. By (*), we have that $\Lambda G^{(m)} = \Lambda G^{(m-1)}\mathbb{X}^{(m-1)}$ satisfies ARC. Applying Theorem 3.8, it is easy to see that $\Lambda G^{(m-1)}$ satisfies ARC. Applying Theorem 3.8 repeatedly, we finally get that ΛG satisfies ARC.

Similarly, we get the other implications. $\square$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Prof. Osamu Iyama for his hospitality during her stay in Nagoya with the support of CSC Fellowship. She is grateful to people in Nagoya for their help. Her special thanks are due to Prof. Zhaoyong Huang and Xiaojin Zhang for helpful conversations on the subject. This work was partially supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11571164).

REFERENCES

- [AB] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1969).
- [AR] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, *On a generalized version of Nakayama conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52(1975), 69-74.
- [ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalø, *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*, Corrected reprint of the (1995) original, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1997).
- [BFS] A. Bahlekeh, A. M. Fallah and S. Salarian, *On the Auslander-Reiten conjecture for algebras*, J. Algebra 427(2015), 252-263.
- [Ba] H. Bass, *Injective dimension in Noetherian Ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102(1962), 18-29.
- [Be] A. Beligiannis, *On Algebras of Finite Cohen-Macaulay Type*, Adv. Math 226(2011), 1973-2019.
- [C] X. W. Chen, *An Auslander-type result for gorenstein-projective modules*, Adv. Math. 218(2008), 2043-2050.
- [CT] O. Celikbas and R. Takahashi, *Auslander-Reiten conjecture and Auslander-Reiten duality*, J. Algebra 382(2013), 100-114.
- [CPST] L. W. Christensen, G. Piepmeyer, J. Striuli and R. Takahashi, *Finite Gorenstein representation type implies simple singularity*, Adv. Math. 218(2008) 1012-1026.
- [EJ1] E. E. Enochs and O. M.G. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. 220(1995), 611-633.
- [EJ2] E. E. Enochs and O. M.G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Exp. Math. vol. 30. Walter de Gruyter Co.(2000).
- [FJ] E. Formanek and A. V. Jategaonkar, *Subrings of noetherian rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 46(2)(1974), 181-186.
- [FZ] K. R. Fuller and B. Zimmermann-Huisgen, *On the generalied Nakayama conjecture and the Cartan determinant problem*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc 294(2)(1986), 679-691.
- [HS] Z. Y. Huang and J. X. Sun, *Invariant properties of representations under excellent extensions*, J. Algebra. 358(2012),87-101.
- [KLZ] S. Koenig, Y. M. Liu and G. D. Zhou, *Transfer maps in Hochschild (co)homology and applications to stable and derived invariants and to the Auslander-Reiten conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364(2012), no 1, 195-232.
- [LZ] Z. W. Li and P. Zhang, *A construction of Gorenstein-projective modules*, J. Algebra 323(2010), 1802-1812.
- [LH] R. Luo and Z. Y. Huang, *When are torsionless modules projective?* J. Algebra, 320(5)(2008), 2156-2164.
- [LJ] R. Luo and D. M. Jian, *On the Gorenstein projective conjecture: IG-projective modules*. J. Algebra Appl. 15(2016), no. 6, 1650117, 11pp.
- [M] A. Maróti, *A proof of a generalized Nakayama conjecture*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc 38(5)(2006), 777-785.

- [RR] I. Reiten and C. Riedtmann, *Skew group algebras in the representation theory of Artin algebras*, J. Algebra 92(1985), 224-282.
- [W1] J. Q. Wei, *Tilting complexes and Auslander-Reiten conjecture*, Math. Z. 272(2012), no. 1-2, 431-441.
- [W2] J. Q. Wei, *Tilting invariance of the Auslander-Reiten conjecture*, Math. Res. Lett. 17(2010), no. 1, 171-176.
- [W3] J. Q. Wei, *Auslander generators and homological conjectures*, Glasg. Math. J. 56(2014), no. 3, 503-506.
- [X1] C. C. Xi, *On the finitistic dimension conjecture. I. Related to representation-finite algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 193(2004), no. 1-3, 287-305.
- [X2] C. C. Xi, *On the finitistic dimension conjecture. II. Related to finite global dimension*, Adv. Math. 201(2006), no.1, 116-142.
- [X3] C. C. Xi, *On the finitistic dimension conjecture. III. Related to the pair $eAe \subseteq A$* , J. Algebra 319(2008), no.9, 3666-3688.
- [Y] K. Yamagata, *Frobenius algebras*, Handbook of algebra, Handbook of Algebra **1**, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1996, pp.841-887.
- [Z] X. J. Zhang, *A note on Gorenstein projective conjecture II*, Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan 29(2)(2012), 155-162.
- [ZZ] G. D. Zhou and A. Zimmermann *Auslander-Reiten conjecture for symmetric algebras of polynomial growth*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 53(2012), no. 2, 349-364.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, JIANGSU PROVINCE,
P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: zhangying1221@sina.cn